



Shifting Gears: The Acceleration of Prime Auto Securitization

PRIVATE CAPITAL | JULY 3, 2024

Asset-backed securities (“ABS”) collateralized by prime auto loans are a major component of the ABS market. The auto ABS subsector, which includes both prime and subprime auto loan securitizations, is consistently the largest contributor to new annual ABS supply. To illustrate, in 2023 over \$146 billion of auto loan paper was securitized, accounting for more than 57% of the total \$256 billion ABS new issue volume.¹

Over the last year, we’ve observed a marked increase in the number of banks and credit unions opting to securitize portions of their prime auto loan portfolios. We attribute this trend to rising interest rates which reduces the “stickiness” of retail deposits, and recent regulatory changes affecting both types of institutions. This has been the catalyst for their increased appetite for securitization. The incentives driving these financial institutions to securitize prime auto loans differ greatly from those of traditional OEM captive auto finance companies.

Banks

In July last year, key bank regulators, including the Federal Reserve, the FDIC and the Comptroller of the Currency, proposed measures aimed at bolstering the “strength and resilience of the banking system”.² The proposal would modify large bank capital requirements in order to implement the final components of the Basel III agreement (an international regulatory framework governing capital adequacy, stress testing and liquidity requirements for banks). If enacted, the proposal would impose additional capital requirements for banks with assets of \$100 billion or more. The net impact of the proposal in its current form makes holding prime auto loans relatively less attractive than other consumer loans (for example, subprime auto loans) from a regulatory capital efficiency perspective.

Fed Chairman Jerome Powell hinted at potential “broad and material changes” to the proposal during his early March address to the House Financial Services Committee. Despite this, we believe major banks have already initiated preparations for its enforcement. They have assessed the efficiency of retaining substantial prime auto loans as portfolio assets under the new regulation and generally determined these assets were not an efficient use of their capital under the new rules. Since there are over \$530 billion of auto loans on bank balance sheets according to the FDIC³ and Moody’s estimates that less than 1% has been securitized⁴, to optimize regulatory capital efficiency, large banks are incentivized to reduce their prime auto loan exposures.

Rising funding costs provide banks with another incentive to divest their prime auto holdings. For the last several years, banks have enjoyed a stable retail deposit base due to the low interest rates maintained by the Fed. However, the recent downfall of Silicon Valley Bank illustrates that, in the current environment of higher rates, the stability of deposit funding can no longer be assumed. Diversification of funding sources is a prudent path to pursue and monetizing portfolio holdings is one way that banks can raise capital.

Banks employ three primary strategies to monetize their prime auto loan holdings or mitigate associated risks. The first, and most straightforward, is the direct sale of these assets. While this approach swiftly reduces holdings, it can potentially result in mark-to-market losses, particularly as most of these loans were originated in a lower interest rate environment. This risk of significant losses serves as a substantial deterrent for outright portfolio loans sales.

The second strategy involves traditional securitization of loan assets. This approach has been adopted by several banks, leading to an increase in bank-sponsored prime auto ABS transactions. Depending on pricing spreads, securitization can achieve better overall economics than a direct loan sale, even after accounting for transaction fees. However, this method will impact the sponsoring bank’s gain/loss accounting if they divest all the risk assets, which is necessary to gain capital relief.

The third alternative is the sale of Credit Linked Notes (CLNs), a ‘synthetic’ securitization strategy. In CLN transactions, the assets remain on the bank’s balance sheet while their credit risk is transferred to third parties. This approach avoids recognizing losses on the sale of the portfolio assets and offers the issuing bank more control over the transaction and flexibility to target specific risk profiles. However, Fed regulations limit the amount of CLNs any individual bank can issue to \$20 billion of notional exposure.

As active ABS market participants, MIM has observed a rise in bank-sponsored prime auto securitizations. This trend is beneficial as it provides us with greater access to these asset pools, enabling us to source attractive securities for our client portfolios more effectively and to enhance portfolio diversification. Currently, the demand for prime auto ABS tranches remains strong, and we haven't identified any significant spread weakness attributed to the increased volume of bank-sponsored prime auto ABS deals. We are mindful of some of the drawbacks of CLNs for investors compared to traditional securitizations. These include increased counterparty exposure to the sponsor, pro-rata structures that do not build credit enhancement over time, and generally worse trading liquidity.

Credit Unions

We've also noticed a rise in prime auto securitizations sponsored by credit unions. Unlike traditional banks, credit unions are non-profit, tax-exempt entities owned and managed by their members. To retain their tax-exempt status, they serve specific population segments, such as labor unions, church groups, or specific professions. The National Credit Union Administration ("NCUA") regulates federally chartered credit unions and provides deposit insurance similar to the FDIC's coverage for bank customers. State chartered credit unions are overseen by their respective state's financial regulator and may or may not be required to have deposit insurance. Typically, these state chartered credit unions secure deposit insurance from the NCUA, provided they meet certain eligibility criteria.

The NCUA finalized a securitization 'safe harbor' rule in June 2017, enabling credit unions to incorporate securitization into their business operations. This development was marked by the issuance of the first securitized credit union debt by GTE Federal Credit Union in 2019, which was a prime auto deal. Since then, the frequency of prime auto securitizations by various credit unions has seen a dramatic increase.

The primary motivation behind this trend is the aim to diversify their funding sources, moving away from their conventional reliance on retail membership deposits. The current environment of rising interest rates has escalated the cost of deposit financing, thereby acting as a major catalyst for the surge in credit union securitization activities.

Furthermore, the emerging Basel III regulatory framework has made prime auto loans less appealing for banks to originate. This presents an opportunity for credit unions to broaden their business scope, with securitization serving as an efficient financing method for this expansion.

In Summary

We view the entry of credit unions into the securitization sponsor space as a positive development, similar to our perspective on bank-sponsored prime auto volumes. This development broadens the investment opportunities for our client portfolios and enhances portfolio diversification.

However, we remain aware of the potential risks associated with new sponsors. Our ABS credit research team performs thorough due diligence on all the credit union prime auto securitizations we engage in. This involves assessing the strengths and weaknesses of the credit union sponsoring the deal. Generally, we favor larger institutions regulated by the NCUA as deal sponsors.

We also recognize the unique risks associated with credit union auto deals. For instance, the sponsor's membership limitations may lead to a geographically concentrated portfolio and typically longer loan terms compared to deals sponsored by traditional OEM captive finance companies. Moreover, these deals often exhibit worse trading liquidity than benchmark prime auto offerings.

Despite these considerations, we believe the incremental spread provided by credit union deals compared to traditional prime auto securitizations adequately compensates for the increased risks.

The author would like to thank Priya Desai and Andrew Butville of MIM's ABS credit research team and JP Morgan Chase securitized research and investment banking personnel for their assistance in the preparation of this note.

Endnotes:

¹ Source: JP Morgan Chase research

² Federal Reserve Board—Agencies request comment on proposed rules to strengthen capital requirements for large banks

³ <https://www.fdic.gov/analysis/quarterly-banking-profile/index.html>

⁴ Moody's Investors Service, March 14, 2024 Auto ABS—US, Sector in Depth Report

Author



John Palphreyman

Structured Products
Portfolio Manager

Disclaimer

This material is intended solely for Institutional Investors, Qualified Investors and Professional Investors. This analysis is not intended for distribution with Retail Investors.

This document has been prepared by MetLife Investment Management (“MIM”)¹ solely for informational purposes and does not constitute a recommendation regarding any investments or the provision of any investment advice, or constitute or form part of any advertisement of, offer for sale or subscription of, solicitation or invitation of any offer or recommendation to purchase or subscribe for any securities or investment advisory services. The views expressed herein are solely those of MIM and do not necessarily reflect, nor are they necessarily consistent with, the views held by, or the forecasts utilized by, the entities within the MetLife enterprise that provide insurance products, annuities and employee benefit programs. The information and opinions presented or contained in this document are provided as of the date it was written. It should be understood that subsequent developments may materially affect the information contained in this document, which none of MIM, its affiliates, advisors or representatives are under an obligation to update, revise or affirm. It is not MIM’s intention to provide, and you may not rely on this document as providing, a recommendation with respect to any particular investment strategy or investment. Affiliates of MIM may perform services for, solicit business from, hold long or short positions in, or otherwise be interested in the investments (including derivatives) of any company mentioned herein. This document may contain forward-looking statements, as well as predictions, projections and forecasts of the economy or economic trends of the markets, which are not necessarily indicative of the future. Any or all forward-looking statements, as well as those included in any other material discussed at the presentation, may turn out to be wrong.

All investments involve risks including the potential for loss of principle and past performance does not guarantee similar future results. Property is a specialist sector that may be less liquid and produce more volatile performance than an investment in other investment sectors. The value of capital and income will fluctuate as property values and rental income rise and fall. The valuation of property is generally a matter of the valuers’ opinion rather than fact. The amount raised when a property is sold may be less than the valuation. Furthermore, certain investments in mortgages, real estate or non-publicly traded securities and private debt instruments have a limited number of potential purchasers and sellers. This factor may have the effect of limiting the availability of these investments for purchase and may also limit the ability to sell such investments at their fair market value in response to changes in the economy or the financial markets.

In the U.S. this document is communicated by **MetLife Investment Management, LLC (MIM, LLC)**, a U.S. Securities Exchange Commission registered investment adviser. MIM, LLC is a subsidiary of MetLife, Inc. and part of MetLife Investment Management. Registration with the SEC does not imply a certain level of skill or that the SEC has endorsed the investment advisor.

For investors in the UK, this document is being distributed by MetLife Investment Management Limited (“MIML”), authorised and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority (FCA reference number 623761), registered address One Angel Lane 8th Floor London EC4R 3AB United Kingdom. This document is approved by MIML as a financial promotion for distribution in the UK. This document is only intended for, and may only be distributed to, investors in the UK who qualify as a “professional client” as defined under the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (2014/65/EU), as per the retained EU law version of the same in the UK.

For investors in the Middle East: This document is directed at and intended for institutional investors (as such term is defined in the various jurisdictions) only. The recipient of this document acknowledges that (1) no regulator or governmental authority in the Gulf Cooperation Council (“GCC”) or the Middle East has reviewed or approved this document or the substance contained within it, (2) this document is not for general circulation in the GCC or the Middle East and is provided on a confidential basis to the addressee only, (3) MetLife Investment Management is not licensed or regulated by any regulatory or governmental authority in the Middle East or the GCC, and (4) this document does not constitute or form part of any investment advice or solicitation of investment products in the GCC or Middle East or in any jurisdiction in which the provision of investment advice or any solicitation would be unlawful under the securities laws of such jurisdiction (and this document is therefore not construed as such).

For investors in Japan: This document is being distributed by MetLife Asset Management Corp. (Japan) (“MAM”), 1-3 Kioicho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-0094, Tokyo Garden Terrace KioiCho Kioi Tower, a registered Financial Instruments Business Operator (“FIBO”) under the registration entry Director General of the Kanto Local Finance Bureau (FIBO) No. 2414, a regular member of the Japan Investment Advisers Association and the Type II Financial Instruments Firms Association of Japan. As fees to be borne by investors vary depending upon circumstances such as products, services, investment period and market conditions, the total amount nor the calculation methods cannot be disclosed in advance. All investments involve risks including the potential for loss of principle and past performance does not guarantee similar future results. Investors should obtain and read the prospectus and/or document set forth in Article 37-3 of Financial Instruments and Exchange Act carefully before making the investments.

For Investors in Hong Kong S.A.R.: This document is being issued by MetLife Investments Asia Limited (“MIAL”), a part of MIM, and it has not been reviewed by the Securities and Futures Commission of Hong Kong (“SFC”). MIAL is licensed by the Securities and Futures Commission for Type 1 (dealing in securities), Type 4 (advising on securities) and Type 9 (asset management) regulated activities.

For investors in Australia: This information is distributed by MIM LLC and is intended for “wholesale clients” as defined in section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) (the Act). MIM LLC exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian financial services license under the Act in respect of the financial services it provides to Australian clients. MIM LLC is regulated by the SEC under US law, which is different from Australian law.

MIMEL: For investors in the EEA, this document is being distributed by MetLife Investment Management Europe Limited (“MIMEL”), authorised and regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland (registered number: C451684), registered address 20 on Hatch, Lower Hatch Street, Dublin 2, Ireland. This document is approved by MIMEL as marketing communications for the purposes of the EU Directive 2014/65/EU on markets in financial instruments (“MiFID II”). Where MIMEL does not have an applicable cross-border licence, this document is only intended for, and may only be distributed on request to, investors in the EEA who qualify as a “professional client” as defined under MiFID II, as implemented in the relevant EEA jurisdiction. The investment strategies described herein are directly managed by delegate investment manager affiliates of MIMEL. Unless otherwise stated, none of the authors of this article, interviewees or referenced individuals are directly contracted with MIMEL or are regulated in Ireland. Unless otherwise stated, any industry awards referenced herein relate to the awards of affiliates of MIMEL and not to awards of MIMEL.

¹ MetLife Investment Management (“MIM”) is MetLife, Inc.’s institutional management business and the marketing name for subsidiaries of MetLife that provide investment management services to MetLife’s general account, separate accounts and/or unaffiliated/ third party investors, including: Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, MetLife Investment Management, LLC, MetLife Investment Management Limited, MetLife Investments Limited, MetLife Investments Asia Limited, MetLife Latin America Asesorias e Inversiones Limitada, MetLife Asset Management Corp. (Japan), and MIM I LLC, MetLife Investment Management Europe Limited and Affirmative Investment Management Partners Limited.

L0724041566[exp0726][All States]